

Advancing patient care and reducing neural risk



NuVasive Clinical Services (NCS) is committed to advancing patient care and reducing risks to help prevent neural injuries in surgery. As one of the largest intraoperative neuromonitoring (IOM) providers in the country, we support surgeons with best-in-class technology and a highly trained clinical team that help enable improved patient outcomes.

The value of IOM

Helping protect you and your patients

IOM offers insight into the nervous system during spine, peripheral nerve, vascular, and brain-related surgeries. Use of IOM facilitates the surgical process and can reduce surgical risk by providing critical information and alerts to surgeons of potential harm or compromise to the spinal cord or neural structures.



of spine surgeries have a complication. Of those, the third most common complication is nerve injury.¹

The harsh reality of nerve and spinal cord injury

Injury to the nervous system can be profound for both the patient and healthcare provider.

For the healthcare provider, it can mean:

- Poor clinical outcomes
- A damaged reputation
- Increased medicolegal risk
- Increased length of patient stay by several days²
- Unnecessary added costs³
- Reduced patient satisfaction scores, which are increasingly tied to reimbursements

IOM enables less risk, better decision making and ultimately, better patient care.

In a growing marketplace, the variance in technology and quality of care is vast—finding a partner you can trust is critical.

The NCS difference

- Best-in-class technology
- Highly trained practitioners
- Integrity and ethics

VASIVE

00000

- Accountability through reporting
- Customized partnerships to meet your needs
- Coverage you can count on

Our reach spans beyond clinical services and includes a wide range of NuVasive hardware, biologics, and other industry-leading systems to help drive impact in and out of your OR.

NVM5

One device, multiple technologies

NVM5 combines IOM and other surgical technologies into a single platform, specifically designed to support the unique requirements of spine surgery.

Rod bending

TMAP monitoring



Spinal cord monitoring





XLIF monitoring



- Free run EMG
- Dynamic EMG/ XLIF EMG
- SSEP

IOM

- TMAP
- MEP
- Remote monitoring

technologies

- Integrated Global Alignment (iGA)
- Bendini



Screw test and nerve monitoring

IOM and other enabling technologies

Expediting surgical workflow	 Small footprint; all-in-one design Fast OR setup and quick room turns Automation of manual tasks Procedurally integrated
Advancing patient care	 Standardized, clinically supported alerts Surgeon-directed information and control Proactively identifies potential neural injury Preserves spinal alignment, reduces residual screw pullout forces⁴
Improving surgical economics	 Fewer vendors in the OR Efficient maximum access surgery (MAS) procedures with lower OR costs and faster patient recovery⁵⁻⁷ Minimizes scope of surgery, revision, and waste Multiple technologies in one platform





Intraoperative alignment assessment

Clinical and economic challenges of spine surgery

Challenges

Revision surgeries

- Malaligned patients: 10x risk of reoperation⁸
- Breached pedicle screws: Top cause of revision⁹
- Poorly bent rods: Up to 47% rate of screw failures¹⁰

- NVM5 solutions
- **Global alignment:** Quantitative intraoperative alignment assessment
- **Dynamic screw testing:** Proactive pedicle breach avoidance
- Rod bending: 60% reduction in residual screw forces¹¹

Increased cost

- In-hospital complications increase hospital costs, on average, by 234%¹²
- The average OR cost to a hospital is approximately \$80 to \$133 per minute^{13–15}
- **Multi-modality neuromonitoring:** Reduces hospital cost by \$63,387 perneurological deficit averted¹³
- Efficient IOM and rod bending: Helps reduce hardware waste and OR time. 30-minute reduction can lead to \$2,400 to \$3,990 in savings^{13, 14}

Infection

- Hospital-acquired infections average 4% to 5% in U.S.^{16–18}
- Surgical site infection is the most common (1% to 4%)¹⁷
- **Surgeon-driven platform:** Multiple technologies, one device, fewer vendors in the OR
- Augmented intraoperative information: Supports faster, less disruptive MAS procedures

Complications and hospital stay

- Neural injury: 3rd most common complication and 2nd leading cause for increased stay^{19, 20}
- Open posterior procedures require longer recovery
- XLIF real-time, directional EMG: Nearly 3x reduction in neural complications compared to direct dissection²¹
- **MEP/SSEP monitoring:** Highly effective (70-100%) at detecting neural injury²²
- **Multi-modality neuromonitoring:** Reduces risk of neural complications by 49.4%²³
- XLIF hospital stay: 1-3 days, compared to 3-6 days with open posterior procedures^{24, 25}

Longer OR times

- Variable, delayed neuromonitoring
- Laborious manual rod bending
- Subjective, iterative, alignment assessment
- Automated nerve testing: Fewer attempts to place screws; faster XLIF nerve detection
- Rod bending: Opportunity to reduce rod bending/ placement time by up to 1 hour in large multi-level cases

Pulse

Looking ahead to the future

In addition to the NVM5 platform, NuVasive has developed a single integrated technology platform in Pulse. Pulse integrates multiple enabling technologies to improve workflow, reduce variability, and increase the reproducibility of surgical outcomes.*







Global alignment Rod bending



Radiation reduction and imaging





with enabling technologies.



Navigation

Robotics

Smart tools and other applications



Our purpose is to **transform outcomes** through best-in-class surgical technology.

We focus on **advancing global adoption** of less disruptive surgery and improving the standard of care by pairing industry-leading procedures



Multi-modality monitoring

In addition to standard modalities including EMG, MEP, SSEP, etc., NCS employs advanced technology to facilitate the physiological assessment of neural structure integrity and to map neural anatomy during complex procedures.

Modalities include:

- Cortical and subcortical motor mapping
- Sensory mapping
- Language mapping
- Peripheral nerve monitoring and functional assessment
- Rhizotomy
- Brainstem auditory evoked responses (BAER)
- Visual evoked potentials (VEP)
- Cranial nerve monitoring and mapping
- Intraoperative EEG
- Cortical perfusion monitoring
- Direct (D) wave monitoring
- Dorsal column mapping to locate physiologic midline
- Microelectrode recordings (MER) during DBS

NCS can monitor the following cases:

- Intracranial/brain
- Neurosurgical spine
- Orthopedic spine
- Orthopedic/joints
- ENT/otolaryngology
- Interventional neuroradiology
- Vascular
- Peripheral neurosurgery
- Functional neurosurgery/neuromodulation

Highly trained neurophysiologists



Committed to clinical excellence

NCS combines a rigorous training and university-accredited education program for our neurophysiologists (NPs), with accreditations and credentials now recognized as the standard for ensuring competency and quality of care.

See our NPs in action at **#MeetTheNP**

More than 500 NPs and dozens of board-certified oversight neurologists



Nationally recognized Certification of Neurophysiologic Intraoperative Monitoring (CNIM), or CNIM eligible



Cross trained on NVM5, Pulse, and traditional systems

Coverage you can count on

Any time, any day

Covering more than 100,000 cases annually, NCS is among the largest providers of IOM services in the country. This means we're set up with a robust infrastructure to support your case needs.

> Available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week across 46 states.

> > + Comprehensive liability and insurance coverage, and legal support



Integrity and ethics

Standing apart from the headlines

Guided by our core values of integrity and transparency, the NCS impact spans beyond the OR—from standardized billing practices to clinical efficacy reporting and more.

We have well-established workflows and professional teams in place to:

- Support third-party billing (including appeals and denials)
- Invoice insurance companies
- Establish in-network and third-party agreements
- Streamline surgeon-patient onboarding and communications

Accountability through reporting

The visibility you need

Thanks to our scale, and a commitment to transparency and improvement, NCS leverages detailed reports on case **performance**, **safety**, **and outcomes** to help drive change.

Annual IOM reports include:

Performance	Staffing Utilization Procedure types Scheduling dynamics
Safety	Needle stick injuries Oral injury Integument injury Technical issues preventing monitoring
Outcome	Reportable data used to influence the procedure
Procedure	CPT codes

Customized partnerships to meet your needs

NCS understands every healthcare facility is unique, so we offer customized packages to meet your varying needs.

Comprehensive service

Single source provider for IOM and surgical hardware

- Flat case rates for standard modalities—improves cost forecasting
- Value pricing based on case volume with additional savings for long-term and exclusive status
- Diversified platforms available
- Savings on NVM5 platform with NCS service

	Annual revenue growth	Rebate on growth
Tier III	\$100,000	X%
Tier II	\$500,000	Υ%
Tier I	\$1,000,000	Ζ%

This chart is for illustrative purposes only. Actual rebate and growth targets may vary.



Preferred service and hardware growth

Vendor consolidation and savings through commitment

- Increased savings with commitment
- Tiered growth rebate based on achieving spend target
- Reduces hardware vendors and consolidates IOM provider(s)
- Rebate inclusive of hardware, biologics, and neuromonitoring



Monthly subscription

Predictable, reproducible budget forecasting for service

- Monthly subscription for IOM service
- Two-year minimum commitment
- 90% of cases with oversight physician

The benefits

Increase clinical value and accuracy of IOM

- NPs facilitate more service and technology
- Decrease overall cost to deploy more technology
- Differentiate your service in competitive hospital marketplace

Features	Silver	Gold	Platinum
Traditional technology and one stim device	٠	٠	٠
NVM5 IOM and one stim device	٠	٠	•
Bendini and iGA		٠	•
Pulse		•	•
Advanced cases	•	٠	•
Procedural accessories (I-PAS, XLIF kit, etc.)	•	٠	•
Included Not included		Additional cost	

Partner with us

Contact our team at +1 800.638.7564 or visit us online at nuvasive.com/ncs

References

- 1. Haid R, Schwab F, Shaffrey C, Youssef J. Global Spinal Alignment. St. Louis: Quality Medial Publishing, Inc.; 2015.
- Yadla S, Ghobrial GM, Campbell, et al. Identification of complications that have a significant effect on length of stay after spine surgery and predictive value of 90-day readmission rate. J Neurosurg Spine 2015;23(6):807-11.
- 3. Kalish RL, Daley J, Duncan CC, et al. Costs of potential complications of care for major surgery patients. *AM J Med Qual* 1995;10(1):48-54.
- 4. Tohmeh AG, Isaacs RE, Dooley ZA, et al. Long construct pedicle screw reduction and residual forces are decreased using a computer-assisted rod bending system. *J Spine Neurosurg* S2 2014.
- 5. Lehmen JA, Gerber EJ. MIS lateral spine surgery: A systematic literature review of complications, outcomes, and economics. *Eur Spine J* 2015;24(3):S287-313.
- Goldstein CL, Phillips FM, Rampersaud YR. Comparative effectiveness and economic evaluations of open versus minimally invasive posterior or transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion. *Spine* 2016;41(8S):S74-89.
- 7. Uribe JS, Deukmedjian AR. Visceral, vascular, and wound complications following over 13,000 lateral interbody fusions: a survey study and literature review. *Eur Spine J* 2015;24(3):S386-96.
- 8. Rothenfluh DA, Mueller DA, Rothenfluh E, et al. Pelvic incidence-lumbar lordosis mismatch predisposes to adjacent segment disease after lumbar spinal fusion. *Eur Spine J* 2015;24(6):1251-8.
- 9. Tsai T-T, Lee S-H, Niu C-C, et al. Unplanned revision spinal surgery within a week: a retrospective analysis of surgical causes. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2016;17:28. doi:10.1186/s12891-016-0891-4.
- 10. Paik H, Kang DG, Lehman RA, et al. The biomechanical consequences of rod reduction on pedicle screws: should it be avoided? *Spine J* 2013;13(11):1617-26.
- 11. Tohmeh AG, Isaacs RE, Dooley ZA, et al. Long construct pedicle screw reduction and residual forces are decreased using a computer-assisted rod bending system. *J Spine Neurosurg* S2 2014. doi:10.4172/2325-9701.1000102.
- 12. Kalish RL, Daley J, Duncan CC, et al. Costs of potential complications of care for major surgery patients. *Am J Med Qual* 1995;10(1):48-54.
- Shippert RD. A study of time-dependent operating room fees and how to save \$100,000 by using time-saving products. Am J Cosmet Surg 2005;22(1):25-34.
- 14. Macario A. What does one minute of operating room time cost? J Clin Anesth 2010;22(4):233-6.
- 15. Watkins IV RG, Gupta A, Watkins III RG. Cost-effectiveness of image-guided spine surgery. Open Orthop J 2010;4:228-33.
- Magill SS, Edwards JR, Bamberg W, et al. Multistate point-prevalence survey of health care-associated infections. N Engl J Med 2014;370:1198-208.
- de Lissovoy G, Fraeman K, Hutchins V, et al. Surgical site infection: incidence and impact on hospital utilization and treatment costs. Am J Infect Control 2009;37(5):387-97.
- 18. Glance LG, Stone PW, Mukamel DB, et al. Increases in mortality, length of stay, and cost associated with hospital-acquired infections in trauma patients. *Arch Surg* 2011;146(7):794-801.
- 19. Veeravagu A, Cole TS, Azad TD, et al. Improved capture of adverse events after spinal surgery procedures with a longitudinal administrative database. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2015;23(3):374-82.
- 20. Yadla S, Ghobrial GM, Campbell PG, et al. Identification of complications that have a significant effect on length of stay after spine surgery and predictive value of 90-day readmission rate. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2015;23(6):807-11.
- Cheng I, Briseno MR, Arrigo RT, et al. Outcomes of two different techniques using the lateral approach for lumbar interbody Arthrodesis. *Global Spine J* 2015;5(4):308-14.
- 22. Fehlings MG, Brodke DS, Norvell DC, et al. The evidence for intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring in spine surgery. Does it make a difference? *Spine* 2010;35(9s):s37-46.
- Ney JP, van der Goes DN, Watanabe JH. Cost-effectiveness of intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring for spinal surgeries: beginning steps. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2012;123(9):1705-7.
- 24. Villavicencio AT, Burneikiene S, Bulsara KR, et al. Perioperative complications in transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion versus anterior-posterior reconstruction for lumbar disc degeneration and instability. J Spinal Disord Tech 2006;19(2):92-7.
- 25. Park Y, Ha JW. Comparison of one-level posterior lumbar interbody fusion performed with a minimally invasive approach or a traditional open approach. *Spine* 2007;32(5):537-43.

WuVasive, Inc. 7475 Lusk Blvd., San Diego, CA 92121 USA +1 800.475.9131 EC[REP] NuVasive Netherlands B.V. Jachthavenweg 109A, 1081 KM Amsterdam, The Netherlands +31 20 72 33 000

NUVASIVE

*Certain applications of the Pulse platform are under development and not available for commercial sale; robotics is not cleared for use by the FDA. ©2019. NuVasive, Inc. All rights reserved. 9511959 A

CE 2797

nuvasive.com